1940 Supplement

To

Mason's Minnesota Statutes 1927

(1927 to 1940) (Superseding Mason's 1931, 1934, 1936 and 1938 Supplements)

Containing the text of the acts of the 1929, 1931, 1933, 1935, 1937 and 1939 General Sessions, and the 1933-34, 1935-36, 1936 and 1937 Special Sessions of the Legislature, both new and amendatory, and notes showing repeals, together with annotations from the various courts, state and federal, and the opinions of the Attorney General, construing the constitution, statutes, charters and court rules of Minnesota together with digest of all common law decisions.



Edited by

William H. Mason Assisted by The Publisher's Editorial Staff

MASON PUBLISHING CO. SAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA 1940 retains right of possession, and cannot be charged with conversion, or embezzlement. Id. See Dun. Dig. 7748.

Sheriff is entitled to fees of \$4.50 for posting notices and making sale. Op. Atty. Gen., May 20, 1929.

8561 16.

COMMON LAW DECISIONS RELATING TO LIENS IN GENERAL

An equitable lien exists when there is a contract, express or implied, sufficiently indicating an intention to make some particular property security for a debt or other obligation, or it may arise wholly for consideration of right and justice. Marquette Nat, Bank v. M., 287NW 233. See Dun, Dig, 5577b.

CHAPTER 70

Marriage

8562. Marriage a civil contract.

8562. Marriage a civil contract. Marriage may be annulled where the defendant was prohibited by law from entering into it because he had been divorced from a former wife within six months, and induced the consent of plaintiff by a false representation of no divorce within the six-month period. 171M340, 214 NW650. Where the promises of the husband, under an ante-nuptial contract, to make payments of money to his wife have matured and the money has become due, the causes of action so perfected are not defeated by the wife's subsequent desertions and damages therefor 177M

Allenation of affections and damages therefor. 177M 270, 224NW839.

Essentials of common-law marriage between single man and married woman by cohabitation and consent following death of husband of woman, 180M463, 231NW 199.

Contract whereby plaintiff was employed at a stipu-lated compensation per month as a farm hand was not abrogated by marriage of plaintiff to his employer, but remained a binding obligation upon her, and he could recover for work performed after the marriage. Archer v. M., 183M306, 236NW455. See Dun. Dig. 4258. Circumstantial avidence recover to chow common-

v. M., 183M306, 236NW455. See Dun. Dig. 4258. Circumstantial evidence necessary to show common-law marriage estate. Ghelin v. J., 186M405, 243NW443.
See Dun. Dig. 5796. General reputation alone that parties are married is not alone sufficient to show common-law marriage. Ghe-lin v. J., 186M405, 243NW443.
On objection to petition for appointment of adminis-trator, on ground that objector was common-law wife of decedent, burden of proof was upon objector to show that there was in fact a marriage contract. Welker's Estate, 196M447, 265NW273. See Dun. Dig. 5793.

Mere cohabitation, not shown to be of matrimonial na-ture or intent, without more, there being no evidence of public matrimonial behavior or general matrimonial repute, held insufficient to establish common-law mar-riage. Id.

Flage. 10. Where employee entered into an agreement to marry on a certain date and was killed several days before date set for marriage and after banns of marriage had been published by church, and 8½ months after death girl bore a child of the employee, there was no marriage and child was not entitled to compensation under workmen's compensation act. Guptil v. E., 197M211, 266NW748. See Dun. Dir. 5784. Dun. Dig. 5784.

Dun. Dig. 5784. On evidence that mother-in-law actively and mali-ciously interfered to prevent reconciliation between plain-tiff and her husband, defendant's son, verdict for damages for alienation of the son's affections sustained was proper. Ruble v. Ruble, 203M39, 281NW529. See Dun. Dig. 4294. Validity of marriage celebrated in foreign state in violation of statute of domicile. 16MinnLawRev173. Common-law marriage in Minnesota. 22MinnLawRev 177.

8563. Persons capable of contracting.

Where a boy 19 years of age and a girl 14 years of age, residents of Wisconsin, went to Minnesota and were married and returned to Wisconsin, Minnesota law governed and marriage was only voldable and not vold, and the boy obtained his majority under Howa statute by marriage. Boehm v. R., 22410wa226, 276NW105.

Marriage. Boehm V. R., 2241owa226, 276NW105. A male person over 18 but under 21 years of age, and a female over 16 but under 18 years of age, cannot pro-cure a marriage license without the consent of parents or guardians. Op. Atty. Gen., Feb. 13, 1930. Neither Laws 1937, c. 79, nor Laws 1937, c. 435, affect §8569, or any other provisions of marriage law of state, and consent to marriage is required from guardian or parent where female is of full age of 15 years and under 18. Op. Atty. Gen. (300a), May 13, 1937.

Boy Seventeen years of age can marry with consent of parents and an order of Juvenile Court. Op. Atty. Gen. (300a), Nov. 27, 1937. Marriage is a civil contract and marriage of minors over age prescribed by this section but under age of 21, without consent of parents, is not void, but voidable only by action of party under disability. Op. Atty. Gen. (300a), Jan. 30, 1939.

8564. Marriages prohibited.-No marriage shall be contracted while either of the parties has a husband or wife living; nor within six months after either has been divorced from a former spouse; nor between parties who are nearer of kin than second cousins, whether of the half or whole blood, computed by the rules of the civil law; nor between persons either one of whom is epileptic, imbecile, feebleminded or insane; nor between persons one or both of whom are under 15 years of age. (As amended Apr. 24, 1937, c. 407, §1.)

Marriage to woman who had been divorced less than a year, and who was prohibited from remarrying by the laws of Wisconsin, held invalid under this section. Cum-mings v. U. S., (USDC-Minn), 34F(2d)284.

Marriage may be annulled where it took place within six months after divorce of defendant, through false representation. 171M340, 214NW650. Evidence held not to show common-law marriage. 175 M547, 221NW911.

State v. Yoder, 113M503, 130NW10, L. R. A. 1916C, 686, followed to the effect that a marriage within the time during which the parties may not remarry, may be vold-able but is not void under our laws. Ommang's Estate, 183M92, 235NW529, See Dun, Dig. 5788(68).

Marriage in Minnesota within one year after divorce in Wisconsin was valid, though it would be void under Wisconsin law. Ommang's Estate, 183M92, 235NW529, See Dun. Dig. 1557, 5788(68).

This statute prohibits the remarriage within six months of persons who have been divorced from each other. Op. Atty, Gen., Sept. 3, 1931. Marriage is forbidden between a woman and her mother's first cousin. Op. Atty. Gen. (300j), Feb. 26,

1935.

Marriage between first cousins solemnized outside of the state would probably be valid in Minnesota. Op. Atty. Gen. (133b-36), Sept. 7, 1935.

8565. By whom solemnized.

Probate judge performing marriage ceremonies is not required to turn over fee to county. Op. Atty. Gen., June 22, 1933.

8568. License.

No marriage can be solemnized without a license be-ing first issued therefor, notwithstanding pre-existing common-law marriage. Op. Atty. Gen., Feb. 17, 1933.

Clerk of court may issue a second marriage license when any female decides to marry a different man, though first man refuses to surrender the first license. Op. Atty. Gen., Nov. 27, 1933.

Marriage license may be issued to male resident of county to marry nonresident providing marriage is per-formed in county where license is procured. Op. Atty. Gen. (300m), April 6, 1939.

8569. Marriageable age of females.--Application for a marriage license shall be made at least five days before a license shall be issued. The clerk shall examine upon oath the party applying for license relative to the legality of such contemplated marriage, and if, at the expiration of said five-day period, satisfied that there is no legal impediment thereto, he shall issue such license, with his official seal attached, and make a record thereof, provided that in case of emergency, or extraordinary circumstances, the judge of the probate court or any judge of the district court of the county in which the application is made may authorize the license to be issued at any time before the expiration of said five days. If any person in-tending to marry shall be under the age of twentyone if a male and under the age of eighteen if a fe-

male, and shall not have had a former husband or wife, such license shall not be issued unless the consent of the parents or guardians shall be personally given before the clerk, or certified under the hand of such parents or guardians, attested by two witnesses, and duly verified by an officer duly author-ized to take oaths and duly attested by a seal, where such officer has a seal. The clerk shall be entitled to a fee of two dollars for administering the oath, and issuing, recording, and filing all papers required. Any clerk who shall knowingly issue or sign a marriage license in any other manner than in this section provided shall forfeit and pay for the use of the parties aggrieved not to exceed one thousand dollars. (R. L. '05, §3559; G. S. '13, §7095; Apr. 25, 1931, c. 401, §1; Apr. 14, 1939, c. 243.)

c. 401, \$1; Apr. 14, 1939, c. 243.) Marriage may be annulled where it took place within six months after divorce of defendant, through false rep-resentation. 171M340, 214NW650. Where either party intending to marry is under legal age as defined in Mason's Stat., \$8992-185, clerk of court is unauthorized to issue a license for marriage of such persons under Mason's Stat., \$8569, without consent of parents or guardian as case may be. Lundstrom v. M., 285NW83. See Dun, Dig. 5788. A male person over 18 but under 21 years of age and a female over 16 but under 18 years of age cannot pro-cure a marriage license without the consent of parents or guardians. Op. Atty. Gen., Feb. 13, 1930. In computing the five-day period, the day on which the application is made is to be excluded and the day the license is issued is to be included. Op. Atty. Gen., Apr. 29, 1931. Fractions of days may not be considered in determin-

Fractions of days may not be considered in determin-ing five days after which a marriage license may be is-sued. Op. Atty. Gen., May 9, 1931.

Consent of parents may be given any time during the five-day period. Op. Atty. Gen., June 2, 1931.

A party applying for a license must appear personally before the clerk. Op. Atty. Gen., June 2, 1931. After the five-day period has expired, it is proper to mail the license to the applicant. Op. Atty. Gen., June 19, 1931.

The mother of two dependent children born of a biga-mous marilage may receive a county allowance to en-able her to care for these children in her home. Op. Atty. Gen., Sept. 26, 1981.

Marriage is forbidden between a woman and her mother's first cousin. Op. Atty. Gen. (300j), Feb. 26, 1935.

A court commissioner has power to waive five-day waiting period for marriage license, and express desire of judge of district court that court commissioners do not exercise such power is of no force and effect. Op. Atty. Gen. (128b), June 21, 1935.
Neither Laws 1937, c. 79, nor Laws 1937, c. 435, affect §8569, or any other provisions of marriage law of state, and consent to marriage is required from guardian or parent where female is of full age of 15 years and under 18. Op. Atty. Gen. (300a), May 13, 1937.
Boy seventeen years of age can marry with consent of parents and an order of Juvenile Court. Op. Atty. Gen. (300a), Nov. 27, 1937.

Application for license must be made in person. Op.

Application for license must be made in person. Op. Atty. Gen. (300m), Feb. 4, 1938. Consent to marriage by parent or guardian was not affected by Laws 1937, c. 435, §24, amending §8992-185. Op. Atty. Gen. (498c), May 3, 1938. Clerk of court should not issue marriage licenses, with-out consent of parents or guardian of either party who is under 21 years of age. Op. Atty. Gen. (300a), Jan. 30, 1939.

8579. Illegitimate children.

This statute does not refer to the children of one mar-rying while still having a spouse by a prior voidable marriage. 175M547, 221NW911. The presumption of the legitimacy of a child conceived during wedlock, while strong, is not conclusive. State v. Soyka, 181M533, 233NW300. See Dun. Dig. 3432. Marriage of parents legitimized child and purged be-getting of all meretricious aspect, as affecting necessity of consent to adoption. Anderson, 189M85, 248NW657. See Dun. Dig. 844(19). In hastardy proceedings wherein there was no excep-

See Dun. Dig. 844(19). In bastardy proceedings wherein there was no excep-tion or objection to charge, court did not err in submit-ting case to jury in absence of proof that child was born alive or was still living, and no proof that defend-ant was not husband of complaining witness, since it is not conceivable that defendant would not attempt to deceive state by setting forth his rights under §§8573, §§14(1). State v. Van Guilder, 199M214, 271NW473. See Dun Dig Said Dun. Dig. 840.

Gen., Ju Where

Issue of bigamous marriage is legitimate. Op. Atty. Gen., July 25, 1933. Where following birth of illegitimate father signed affidavit of admission of paternity and thereafter married mother and two years later a divorce was obtained, child was legitimate and father could be prosecuted for deser-tion. Op. Atty. Gen. (494b-27), Sept. 17, 1935.

CHAPTER 71

Divorce

See \$\$208-1 to 208-9.

8580. What marriages void.-All marriages which are prohibited by law on account of consanguinity, or on account of either or both parties being under the age of 15 years, or on account of either party having a former husband or wife then living, if solemnized within this state, shall be absolutely void, without any decree of divorce or other legal proceedings; Provided, that if any person whose husband or wife has been absent for five successive years, without being known to such person to be living during that time, marries during the lifetime of such absent husband or wife, the marriage shall be void only from the time that its nullity is duly adjudged. (As amended Apr. 24, 1937, c. 407, §2.)

One who married during the existence of a voidable marriage was guilty of bigamy. 175M498, 221NW867. Evidence held not to show common-law marriage. 175 M547, 221NW911.

Most, 221N w911. A widow of a member of fire department relief as-sociation, recipient of a pension under its constitution and by-laws, terminated her right to such pension by a marriage and is not entitled to reinstatement as a pen-sioner upon such marriage being annulled by a judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction. Northrup v. S., 193 M623, 259NW185. See Dun. Dig. 6605a.

Marriage between first cousins solemnized outside of the state would probably be valid in Minnesota. Op. Atty. Gen. (133b-46), Sept. 7, 1935.

8581. What voidable.

175M488, 221NW867; note under \$8580. Marriage may be annulled where it took place within six months after divorce of defendant, through false rep-resentation. 171M340, 214NW650.

Denial of intercourse is not ground for annulment of marriage unless at the time of the marriage the offend-ing spouse entertained an intention not to fulfill her marital obligations. Osbon v. O., 185M300, 240NW894. See Dun. Dig. 5797.

A widow of a member of fire department relief asso-ciation, recipient of a pension under its constitution and by-laws, terminated her right to such pension by a mar-riage and is not entitled to reinstatement as a pensioner upon such marriage being annulled by a judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction. Northrup v. S., 193M 623, 259NW185. See Dun. Dig. 6605a.

Settlement of married woman follows that of husband, and annulment of marriage does not vold pauper settle-ment. Op. Atty. Gen. (3390-2), Aug. 4, 1938.

8582. Action to annul.

Jurisdiction to annul a marriage-Conflict of laws. 15 MinnLawRev398.

Allowance of alimony on annulment of marriage. 23 MinnLawRev387.

8583. When not annulled.

Application of clean hands doctrine to annulment of void marriages. 16MinnLawRev215.

8585. Grounds for divorce.---A divorce from the bonds of matrimony may be adjudged by the district court for any of the following causes:

1. Adultery.

2. Impotency.

- Cruel and inhuman treatment. 3.
- Sentence to imprisonment in any state or United States prison or any state or United States reformatory subsequent to the marriage; and in such a case a pardon shall not restore the conjugal rights.